EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Council Officer



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL OFFICER

Executive Decision Reference Number – COD22 24/25

Deci	sion
I	Title of decision: Plymouth Sound National Marine Park (PSNMP) Gateway Renovation (Tinside) Main Works Contract
2	Decision maker (Council Officer name and job title): Kat Deeney (Head of Environmental Planning)
3	Report author and contact details: James Whitelock (Head of Oceansgate Infrastructure) <u>james.whitelock@plymouth.gov.uk</u>
4a	Decision to be taken:
	I. To award the Main Works contract required to progress the PSNMP Tinside Renewal Project, (following completion of the enabling works) to Nevada Construction against JCT Intermediate Form with Contractors Design Portion Supplement, with a total value of £2,742,506.
	2. To approve a Purchase Order for £3,114,206.35 that includes £371,700.35 for the additional cost items that currently sit outside the contract sum but need to be added in as Contractor Administrator's instructions including 10% professional fees.
4b	Reference number of original executive decision or date of original committee meeting where delegation was made:
	<u>Cabinet – 12 February 2024</u>
5	Reasons for decision:
	1. To deliver PSNMP Gateway Tinside Renewal project as defined in the PSNMP project and agreed at Cabinet 12 Feb 2024 (minute reference 103).
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:
	I. Do nothing: Rejected as this would result in funding from Youth Investment Fund, National Lottery Heritage Fund and Levelling Up Fund being returned if project was not progressed.
7	Financial implications and risks:
	To award the Main Works contract to Nevada Construction against JCT Intermediate Form with Contractors Design Portion Supplement for a value of £2,742,505.98.
	The budget for the PSNMP Project as defined in the business case (£19.3m Total of Capital & Revenue), which includes the Tinside project budget of £3,148,149, was approved by cabinet 12 February 2024 (minute reference 103).
	In addition to the above contract award sum, the total project value including the prior approved enabling works £348,041 (Executive Decision COD 38 23/24) additional construction costs, professional fees, contingency and inflation is now £4,687,849.

Risks of not proceeding:

Not spending the Youth Investment Fund Capital by the end date of 31 March 2025 could result in requirement to return of funding (clawback);

Risks and implications from proceeding:

If we do not receive confirmation in writing of a Levelling Up Fund Round 3 contribution of $\pounds 1.39$ million, then we must rely upon Corporate borrowing if we are to deliver the project;

Construction cost inflation outstrips budget allowances, resulting in an unaffordable capital spend;

Building conditions are worse than expected, resulting in cost exceeding budget allowances.

8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact <u>Democratic Support</u>	Yes	Νο	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	for further advice)		×	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total				
			X	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million				
			X	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
8b	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key</u> <u>Decisions</u>	N/A						
9	Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	ambiti deliver Sound deliver paradi save solutic deliver	on to ur ring the U and lead a r a vital ch gm shift ir our plane on. PSNM red throug	tage Horizons funding will deliver the City nlock the transformational 'big idea' of K's first National Marine Park in Plymouth a new generation of Parks. This project will hange by, through and with people. It is a in thinking that recognises that we cannot et without everyone being part of the 1P will enable a grassroots movement, gh engaged marine citizens, changing long and outcomes.				
		The programme will deliver against policies within the Plymouth Plan including policy 'INTI - Implementing Britain's Ocean City'. The NMP has been designed to support the City Vision as well as Plymouth City Council priorities: To make Plymouth "One of Europe's most vibrant waterfront cities, where an outstanding quality of life is enjoyed by everyone" by making more of one of our greatest assets and due to the focus on engagement and inclusion, reducing health inequalities, by providing more opportunities for a wider group of people to access the						

			health an	d wellbeing	g benefits the NMP can offer.				
			The project will be delivered on the ground through an integrated and collaborative programme. The different areas will work holistically together to bring the PSNMP to life.						
				nt to crea	hancements to our key centres along the ate gateways to the PSNMP is a key				
			Tinside Lido – The plans for the Tinside work with the iconic art deco design of one of the City's greatest waterfront assets. The proposal will ensure it plays a key role in the PSNMP enabling more people to connect and engage with the heritage of the Sound. The terrace at the top of the Lido will be reimagined to provide a welcoming place to enjoy the amazing view of Tinside and the whole of PSNMP. The first floor of the main Lido building will be restored and repurposed as a multi-purpose space. It will support community use, income-generating events to sustain the outcomes and will have a focus on enabling youth led activities. In addition, 'Building B', located between the Lido pool and Tinside Cove, will be refurbished and brought back into use as a youth outreach/water sports hub. This will enable youth workers to support young people to build confidence, develop skills, undertake marine-related training and learn about blue sector career opportunities in Plymouth.						
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)		robustne footprint practice i	Construction materials have been selected for long-term robustness and durability to reduce lifecycle carbon footprint whilst having regard to the need to follow best practice in the conservation repair of the historic fabric using tried and tested techniques.					
Urge	nt decisions								
П	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the	Y	es		(If yes, please contact <u>Democratic</u> <u>Support</u> for advice)				
	interests of the Council or the public?	N	lo	Х	(If no, go to section I 3a)				
12a	Reason for urgency:								
I 2b	Scrutiny Chair signature:			Date					
	Scrutiny Committee name:								
	Print Name:								
Cons	ultation								

OFFICIAL

13a		ch Cabinet Member's portfolio this decision relate to?	Councillor Tudor Evans OBE (Leader of the Council)						
I3b	Date	e Cabinet Member consulted	29 August 2024						
	Are any other Cabinet members'	Yes	x						
13c	portfolios affected by the decision?		No			(If no go to sectio	on 14)		
l 3d		ch other Cabinet member's folio is affected by the decision?	Councillor Sue Dann (Cabinet Member for Customer Services, Sport, Leisure & HR)						
l3e		e other Cabinet member(s) sulted	Consultation regarding PSNMP has been ongoing. All Cabinet members approved the programme, which included the Tinside project at cabinet 12 February 2024.						
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the				If yes, please discu Monitoring Office	ase discuss with the			
	deci		No	Х					
15	Which Corporate Management		Name			Anthony Payne			
	Tear	n member has been consulted?	Job title			Strategic Director for Place			
			Date	consult	ted				
Sign	-off								
16		off codes from the relevant artments consulted:		ocratic datory)	DS49 24/25				
			Finan	ce (ma	CH.24.25.033				
			Legal	(mand	atory	LS/2078/KT/19924			
			Huma	an Reso	ource	HG/PS/753/ED/092 4			
			Corpo applic	orate p able)	N/A				
			Procu	remen	N/A				
Арр	endic	es							
17	Ref.								
	A	PSNMP Tinside Main Works Contrac		•	rt Part	: 1			
	в	EqIA – ref 25555 PSNMP EqIA – Main							
	Climate Impact Assessment – Tinside Renewal Project								
Corr	fidaat	ial/exempt information							
	1	•	X		16				
18a	Doy	ou need to include any	Yes		it yes,	prepare a second,	, confidential ('Part II')		

	confident	ial/exempt information?							vhy it is r Lof Schee				
			No	x	of	blication by virtue of Part 1of Schedule 12 the Local Government Act 1972 by tickin e relevant box in 18b below.							
					Exe	mption	Paragra	aph Nur	nber				
			I		2	3	4	5	6	7			
l 8b	Confident title:	tial/exempt briefing report											
Back	ground Pa	pers											
9	Please list a	all unpublished, background pape	rs relev	ant to	the	decision	in the ta	ble belov	v .				
	disclose fac the inform	d papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, ets or matters on which the repo ation is confidential, you must inc 2A of the Local Government Act	n impo ⁄hy it i	rtan s no	t part of t for pub	the worl lication b	k is based	I. If some	e/all of				
	Title of	background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number									
			I		2	3	4	5	6	7			
		WN COMMERCIAL 7 SEPTEMBER 2024				x							
-	ITAL INVE JLY 2023	STMENT BUSINESS CASE -											
		ORKS CONTRACT AWARD ARCH 2024											
		ISTRUCTION WORKS DATED 2 AUGUST 2024											
psni	MP Busines	s Case v1.0											
		Signature											
Cou	ncil Officer	Jighter C							et framev	u a ml i			
	l agree the Corporate promote e people who	decision and confirm that it is no Plan or Budget. In taking this dec quality of opportunity, eliminate o share protected characteristics se see the EIA attached.	cision l unlawfu	have g I discr	iven imin	due rega ation and	ard to the promot	e Counci e good r	l's duty to elations b	o Detween			
20	l agree the Corporate promote e people who	decision and confirm that it is no Plan or Budget. In taking this dec quality of opportunity, eliminate o share protected characteristics	cision l unlawfu	have g I discr the Eq	iven imin ualit	due rega ation and ies Act a	ard to the promot	e Counci e good r who do	l's duty to elations b	o Detween			